





Who Cares about YOUR Kidâ??s Education?

Description

A challenge for Alaska Parents



As a teacher in training in Juneau I worked in a program providing outdoor experiences for young children. Before embarking on a group hike of the local area the kids had to be oriented to safe practices. One of the things we asked, while pointing at our face, was: ***Whose face is this?***

They replied: ***Your face!***


Then we asked, pointing at their faces: ***Whose face is that?***

My face, they replied.

So, who is responsible for my face? we continued. ***And, who is responsible for your face? And if you are following somebody in the woods, and a branch hits YOUR face, whose fault is that?â?•***

Alaska public education is now that branch hitting some hapless parentsâ?? faces.

Today anybody with an Education from Alaska public schools is at great disadvantage if they want to live anyplace but here. Our specialized economy traditionally imports persons with special training and skills for top paying Alaska jobs; the rest work for the **State of Alaska**, in a unionized trade, or scratch out a living in the service sector. I have overcome the disadvantage of having an Alaska Public Education mostly with independent endeavorâ??and bluster.



But since March of this year the China pandemic has altered this dynamic. **Ted Stevens International Airport** is increasing as a hub for trade while our state and local economies are in free-fall. Parents are looking at the coming school year with trepidation as our unionized teachers join their national brothers and sisters in threatening to cower in place.

Parents may require new babysitters.

Perhaps this is a time to take a hard look at the factory model of Alaska public education and anticipate a better way. As an educator and trained teacher I have studied this. As an Alaskan I have lived it.

One of the arguments I recall my father making for coming to Alaska from New Mexico in the early 1960s was the reportedly high quality of the **Anchorage School District (ASD)** academic program. New Mexico was then at the bottom of the states for its schoolsâ??as it remains today. Alaska has joined New Mexico since then.

According to **National Center for Education Statistics (NCES)**:

In math, 33 percent of Alaska 4th Grade students were proficient, ranking our state at a shameful 46th among the 50 states in 2019. This has not changed since 2003. At the NCES interactive web page comparisons can be made between Alaska and any other state of the nation.[1]

Parents anticipating a move to Alaska can easily see the comparison.

Alaska's standing in Grade 4 Math:


default watermark

The Nation's Report Card

Overall Results

- In 2019, the average score of fourth-grade students in Alaska was 232 lower than the average score of 240 for students in the nation.
- The average score for students in Alaska in 2019 (232) was not significantly different from their average score in 2017 (230) and in 2003 (233).
- The percentage of students in Alaska who performed at or above the *Proficient* level was 33 percent in 2019. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2017 (32 percent) and in 2003 (30 percent).
- The percentage of students in Alaska who performed at or above the *Advanced* level was 73 percent in 2019. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2017 (71 percent) and in 2003 (75 percent).

Compare the Average Score in 2019 to Other State Jurisdictions



By 8th grade Alaska students' average proficiency in math declined to 30 percent; only slightly above the rate of 2017. This has declined slightly since 2003, a time when Alaska was slightly above the national average in this area.

Alaska's standing in Grade 8 Math:

default watermark


The Nation's Report Card

2

Overall Results

- In 2019, the average score of eighth-grade students in Alaska was 274. This was lower than the average score of 281 for students in the nation.
- The average score for students in Alaska in 2019 (274) was not significantly different from their average score in 2017 (277) and was lower than their average score in 2003 (279).
- The percentage of students in Alaska who performed at or above the *NAEP Proficient* level was 29 percent in 2019. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2017 (29 percent) and in 2003 (30 percent).
- The percentage of students in Alaska who performed at or above the *NAEP Basic* level was 63 percent in 2019. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2017 (66 percent) and was lower than that in 2003 (70 percent).

Compare the Average Score in 2019 to Other States/ Jurisdictions



Reading scores for Alaska 4th grade students were also among the lowest of the nation in 2019. Overall, 25 percent were proficient in Reading. This is a consistent theme of our Alaska schools.


Alaska's standing in Grade 4 Reading:

default watermark

Overall Results

- In 2019, the average score of fourth-grade students in Alaska was 204. This was lower than the average score of 219 for students in the nation.
- The average score for students in Alaska in 2019 (204) was not significantly different from their average score in 2017 (207) and was lower than their average score in 2003 (212).
- The percentage of students in Alaska who performed at or above the NAEP Proficient level was 25 percent in 2019. This percentage was lower than that in 2017 (28 percent) and was not significantly different from that in 2003 (28 percent).
- The percentage of students in Alaska who performed at or above the NAEP Basic level was 53 percent in 2019. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2017 (56 percent) and in 2003 (58 percent).

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages Results



* Significantly different ($p < .05$) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were based on unrounded numbers.
NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.


Compare the Average Score in 2019 to Other States/Jurisdictions



In 2019, the average score in Alaska (204) was
 ■ lower than those in 50 states/jurisdictions
 ■ higher than those in 0 states/jurisdictions
 ■ not significantly different from those in 1 state/jurisdiction

DoDEA = Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools)

Average Scores for State/Jurisdiction



* Significantly different ($p < .05$) from 2019. Significance tests were based on unrounded numbers.

Results for Student Groups in 2019

Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Avg. score	Percentage at or above NAEP Basic	Percentage at or above NAEP Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	45	221	69	36	8
Black	3	†	†	†	†
Hispanic	7	206	52	21	3
Asian	5	211	57	27	8
American Indian/Alaska Native	24	173	25	9	1
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	3	184	32	9	1
Two or more races	13	210	57	27	5
Gender					
Male	52	201	50	23	5
Female	48	208	57	27	6
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	50	189	38	14	2
Not eligible	48	221	69	37	9

† Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

Score Gaps for Student Groups

- Data are not reported for Black students in 2019, but were not met.
- In 2019, Hispanic students had an average score that was 17 points lower than that for White students. This performance gap was from that in 2003 (17 points).
- In 2019, female students in Alaska had an average score that was 8 points higher than that for male students by 8 points.
- In 2019, students who were eligible for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP), had an average score that was 32 points lower than that for students who were not eligible. This performance gap was not that in 2003 (32 points).

And it doesn't get any better.

By 8th grade Alaska reading proficiency on average declined to 23 percent average in 2019. It is not statistically significant from the rate in 2003.


Alaska's standing in Grade 8 Reading:

default watermark

Overall Results

- In 2019, the average score of eighth-grade students in Alaska was 252. This was lower than the average score of 262 for students in the nation.
- The average score for students in Alaska in 2019 (252) was lower than their average score in 2017 (258) and in 2003 (256).
- The percentage of students in Alaska who performed at or above the *NAEP Proficient* level was 23 percent in 2019. This percentage was not significantly different from that in 2017 (26 percent) and in 2003 (27 percent).
- The percentage of students in Alaska who performed at or above the *NAEP Basic* level was 63 percent in 2019. This percentage was lower than that in 2017 (70 percent) and was not significantly different from that in 2003 (67 percent).

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages Results



* Significantly different ($p < .05$) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were based on unrounded numbers.
NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.


Compare the Average Score in 2019 to Other States/Jurisdictions



In 2019, the average score in Alaska (252) was
 ■ lower than those in 47 states/jurisdictions
 ■ higher than those in 0 states/jurisdictions
 ■ not significantly different from those in 4 states/jurisdictions

DoDEA = Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools)

Average Scores for State/Jurisdiction



* Significantly different ($p < .05$) from 2019. Significance tests were based on unrounded numbers.

Results for Student Groups in 2019

Reporting Groups	Percentage of students	Avg. score	Percentage at or above NAEP Basic	Percentage at or above NAEP Proficient	Percentage at NAEP Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	45	265	76	33	3
Black	3	243	56	12	#
Hispanic	7	251	63	18	1
Asian	7	257	68	25	2
American Indian/Alaska Native	24	229	38	9	1
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	3	234	44	10	#
Two or more races	11	260	72	25	2
Gender					
Male	51	247	59	19	1
Female	49	258	68	28	3
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	46	240	50	13	1
Not eligible	52	264	76	33	3

Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

Score Gaps for Student Groups

- In 2019, Black students had an average score that was 24 points lower than that for White students. This performance gap was not significantly different from that in 2003 (19 points).
- In 2019, Hispanic students had an average score that was 12 points lower than that for White students. This performance gap was not significantly different from that in 2003 (21 points).
- In 2019, female students in Alaska had an average score that was 11 points higher than that for male students.
- In 2019, students who were eligible for the National School Lunch Program (NSLP), had an average score that was 24 points lower than that for students who were not eligible. This performance gap was not significantly different from that in 2003 (24 points).

Smart parents considering a move to Alaska may not see our public education as being worthy of their children. Once my dad brought his wife and three kids here he had to supplement our education when we moved to rural Alaska. That hasn't changed even in the face of an oil bonanza.

This is the reality: We have an education model based on more than 50 independent school districts, each with its own School Board, Superintendent, and administrative structure soaking up State money designated by our **Alaska Legislature** in backwater Juneau like a sponge. The majority of teachers are actively recruited from Outside. To add insult to injury, in January of 2019 the **University of Alaska Anchorage School of Education** programs lost accreditation.[2]

Our system is more about wealth distribution than about education. Every local school board is like a little bird in a nest with its mouth open.

The Pandemic Opportunity

I was in Juneau when public education charter schools were legalized in a bill introduced in 1995 by Sen. **Bettye Davis**. As an employee of **NEA-Alaska** I was surprised that such a tried and true friend of the teacher union would introduce such legislation diverting school children from traditional programs to parent-directed learning. I know now that this legislation turned out to be a pre-emptive move to assure that all Charter Schools in the state have the same union teachers on their Alaska Adventure as have been delivering poor results for decades.[3]

Today there are all manner of Charter schools and home school support programs sponsored by school districts for parents who have lost faith in our education factories. Some must be drawn by lottery and parents provide transportation for their kids. Such a deal.

[Academy Charter School](#)

801 East Arctic Street

Palmer, AK 99645

[Barbara Gerard](#)

Principal

(907) 746-2358

[Alaska Native Cultural Charter School](#)

550 Bragaw Street

Anchorage, AK 99508

[Shelia Sweetsir](#)

Principal

(907) 742-1370

[American Charter Academy](#)

7362 W. Parks Hwy #725

Wasilla, AK 99623

[Aquarian Ch](#)

1705 W. 32nd

Anchorage, AK

[Brittany Ner](#)

Principal

(907) 742-49

[Aurora Bore](#)

705 Frontage

Kenai, AK 995

[Cody McCan](#)

Principal

(907) 283-02

[Ayaprun Elitna](#)

PO Box 1468

Bethel, AK 99

A closer look at our dismal education profile

In 2018, as staff for Rep. **Lora Reinbold**, I correlated the salaries paid for superintendents in all of Alaska's school districts with the performance of students as measured by the PEAKS test. I gathered information from the **Association of Alaska School Boards (AASB)**, where I had worked during the mid-1980s as a database technician in Juneau. Back then I helped set up the statewide information gathering system used to support contract negotiations when Alaska still cared about keeping education costs down. AASB gave up that goal long ago except to keep track of the numbers for advocacy purposes, and today school boards are just another special interest group that converges on the legislature every year insisting on more education funds to pay mostly expendable teachers despite declining enrollments and ever declining academic outcomes.

They have no shame.

Every district is monitored by the **Alaska Department of Education** annual testing program. Substantial amounts are directed to each district by the legislature. The state budget passes only once the education budget has been approved by **NEA-Alaska** and associated groups aligned with various special interests. Here is the published chart of academic outcomes compared to economic commitment in terms of district superintendent salaries and benefits:

Alaska

What we Pay Superintendents

Provided by the Office

District	Region	Total ADM (total # students)	Salary established by law
ALASKA GATEWAY	INT	373.2	
ALEUTIANS REGION	SW	N/A	
ALEUTIANS EAST	SW	218.4	
ANCHORAGE	SC	47,561.6	
BRISTOL BAY	SW	109	
CHATHAM	SE	120	0
CHUGACH	SC	276.2	
COPPER RIVER	INT	426.8	
CORDOVA	SC	323	

Alaska

What we Pay Superintendents

Provided by the Office

District	Region	Total ADM (total # students)	estimated b
KAKE	SE	N/A	
KASHUNAMIUT	SW	317.15	
KENAI	SC	8,784.83	
KETCHIKAN	SE	2,227.88	
KLAWOCK	SE	125.5	
KODIAK	SW	2444	
KUSPUK	SW	355.05	
LAKE & PENINSULA	SW	285.12	
LOWER	SW	4100.4	

Alaska

What we Pay Superintendents

Provided by the Office of Superintendent of Education

District 03-30-18	Region	Total ADM (total # students)	es
SOUTHWEST	SW	588.75	
ST. MARY'S	SW	197.6	M
UNALASKA	SW	400.75	1
VALDEZ	SC	618.38	
WRANGELL	SE	291.48	
YAKUTAT	SE	97.3	
YUKON- KOYUKUK	INT	1516	
YUPIIT	INT	453.65	

To consider alternatives to our broken education system at this time we must understand it as we might a money pit home we cannot afford anymore. Parents must decide the future of this system and direct elected officials and education bureaucrats to deliver academic results.

Notice that the data in these spreadsheets is organized by region. Southeast Alaska has some 17 districts fed directly from \$Juneau\$. Southcentral Alaska has the bulk of students in only 5 districts. Interior includes the **Fairbanks North Star Borough**. Southwest, and Northwest districts are in the unincorporated borough. These regions represent natural education areas, and could perhaps be aligned with Native Corporations established under the **Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act**, for efficiencies of scale and regional autonomy.

This is a scam. Proficiency rates are notoriously low and *The Alaska Department of Education*, the *Alaska Legislature* and every Alaska school district knows the status of our state's public education outcomes, yet they continue to whistle past the graveyard.

During the same 30th legislative session when I created the above chart of district performance, Commissioner **Michael Johnson** presented the **Alaska Department of Education** version of reality.

From the report[4]:

No reference to academic proficiency. Responsibility for educational excellence is with the tribal and community rather than to the *State of Alaska* or the many professionals engaged in delivery of public education.

The entire system is a fountain of happytalk.

We who have been through this system have accepted the steady and precipitous decline to this time in history despite untold opportunity for decision makers to address inadequate public education in Alaska.

It is time to take action!

The obligation and responsibility to train children has been termed **The Intergenerational Transfer Of Cognitive Skills** and is today a cornerstone of **Adult Basic Education (ABE)** of adults who did not finish traditional high school. The ABE system began in 1941 when young men returning from serving in the military during war needed skills to be employable in the economy.

In 2014 the entire GED was upgraded to nationally normed 12th grade competency. I helped many people get their GED over nine years. In Alaska it is administered through the *Department of Labor*, not the *Department of Education*.

To learn more: **GED.com**

In that teaching job I became familiar with Intergenerational transfer of Cognitive Skills through the work of former Harvard Professor, **Tom Sticht, PhD** who began his career by supplementing traditional public education to make recruits adequate for military service.

As a researcher, Dr. Sticht contributed to the knowledge of early childhood education, and conducted the first conference on Intergenerational Transfer of Cognitive Skills. Many articles were published by him on the role of oral language and the transfer of literacy.[5]

New expectations of education

The **China Pandemic** has impacted public education by instituting fear of face-to-face contact for vulnerable people who are dis-proportionally impacted by the illness. Political leaders have determined what emergency measures are necessary given medical realities. In March the schools were closed and on-line options substituted for classroom interactions between teachers, aides and students.

Ultimately parents are in charge of directing their children's learning. They must find a way to do this while also attempting to participate in the economy to support their families.

In his academic writings Dr. Sticht presents the background for a previous time when the United States was faced with a need to educate people who had been denied meaningful education:

In the Antebellum period, the education of African American slaves was generally forbidden by various state laws. For instance, acts passed by the General Assembly of North Carolina in 1830 made it a crime punishable by thirty-nine lashes to teach slaves to read and write, the use of figures excepted• (Jacobs, 1861/1987, p. 270). Nonetheless, many adult slaves were taught to read and write by abolitionist whites or other slaves. Some learned from their masters or by overhearing tutors working with their masters' children or by other surreptitious means (Woodson, 1919/1968).[5]

With conclusion of the Civil War the United States had to find a way to educate people who had expressly been denied education. The schools were inadequate for the job and many former slaves attended night classes at local schoolhouses to learn what they needed to know to be citizens.

Smart parents must now find ways to educate the next generation of Alaskans despite union educrats with only tin cups and bluster.

References:

[1] National Center for Education Statistics

<https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/profiles/stateprofile/overview/>

[2]UAA School of Education programs lose accreditation, Anchorage Daily News, Michelle Theriault Boots, January 15, 2019

<https://www.adn.com/alaska-news/education/2019/01/17/uas-teacher-preparation-programs-lost-accreditation-how-did-it-happen-and-whats-next/>

[3]Initial Charter School Legislation (1995)

<http://www.akleg.gov/basis/Bill/Slalookup?sla=1%20ch%2077%20SLA%201995#1>

[4]Report to the Alaska State Legislature 2018

<https://education.alaska.gov/akedchallenge>

[5]National Center for the Study of Adult Learning and Literacy (NCSALL)

<http://ncsall.net/index.html?id=576.html>

More on Dr. Sticht, from Wikipedia:

Dr. Thomas Sticht was awarded UNESCOâ??S Mahatma Gandhi Medal for his twenty-five years of service and dedication.[1]

Sticht created **Functional Context Training** for the U.S. Military. His biggest contribution has been his research on improving the literacy skills for the new recruits of the United States Army, Navy, and Air Force.[2]

Functional Context education is defined as instructional strategy that integrates the teaching of literacy skills and job content to move learners more successfully and quickly toward their education and employment goals.[3][4] He later developed a training program to elevate literary skills in adult learners. Functional context training stresses on building upon prior knowledge to construct new concepts to accomplish difficult tasks. Sticht proposed that instructors should formulate better learning environments that incorporate real world situations to increase studentsâ?? performance.

Sticht contributed to the field of Functional Context theory where he proposed that it was vital to make learning relevant to learners. The model of Cognitive system consisted of three components:

1. Knowledge based (prior knowledge of the learner)
2. Processing skills (problem solving, language skills, and learning strategies)
3. Information displays that present information.[2]

The Functional Context approach recommends new assessment methods such as measuring functional learning and academic learning.

[1]â??The Intergenerational Effects of Adult Educationâ?•. Literacy Coalition of Onondaga County. August 13, 2012. Archived from the original on April 2, 2015. Retrieved March 3, 2015.

2 Functional Context Training

3Wider Opportunities for Women, 2009.

4Functional Context education

Category

1. Alaska Elected Officials
2. Alaska Legislature
3. ALASKA! ELECTIONS
4. ALASKA! NATIVES
5. ALASKA! PUBLIC EDUCATION
6. Los Anchorage

Tags

1. Alaska Public Education

Date Created

August 11, 2020

Author

donn

default watermark