



Alaska's Soviet Style Food Security Program

Description

Food for Thought for Alaskans

default watermark



Update on story first appearing in December 2020, with latest information about how an Outside Scammer can put our entire Soviet Style food security system in jeopardy while the politicians in Backwater Juneau are fed well by Seattle.

Alaskans take a lot of things for granted when it comes to food security in this state. And, while we live in the *Polar Climate Zone* our ability to create local food sources beyond what comes from other places is evolving. Unfortunately, public policy for food security is woefully

inadequate and it is time elected officials did more than blow hot air about what they are doing.

Let's look at what we know about

Alaska food systems

A September, 2012 study by the **University of Alaska Institute on Social and Economic Research (ISER)** took a comprehensive look at **Alaska Food Systems**. In the study a food system was defined as having five components: 1) production, 2) distribution, 3) food preparation and preservation or processing, 4) food use and consumption, and 5) the recycling and disposal of food wastes.

[1]UA Institute of Social and Economic Research Report

I personally think this report is overly complicated, resulting in an 85-page study that the State of Alaska paid for. We writers are usually paid by the word, you know, but I will now cut to the chase.

ISER was hired to do this study because the **Alaska Department of Health and Social Services, Section of Chronic Disease and Health Promotion** wanted to know what was known and not known about **Alaska's Food System**. The researcher's task was to locate, compile, and describe indicators for each component and to note potential concerns with any indicators. The final report provides an overview of the food system model, a demographic overview of Alaska's residents, examination of identified components of the food system, a chapter on data, and a final index of the indicators and source information. It is sitting on shelves all over Juneau with many other studies.

How many Alaska Elected Officials

do you think have read it?

We may be seeing the result of this AK DHSS effort in television commercials featuring Alaskans who social workers want to encourage to live more healthy lifestyles by eating and drinking less sugar, smoking less, drinking less alcohol, and engaging in healthy pre-natal practices. Such Social Engineering is necessary because our public education systems are derelict and low income people often don't understand Natural Consequences from poor choices.

[2]Alaska takes some responsibility for assuring low income people are able to access federal SNAP food benefits.

Our neighbors to the west, in the former **Soviet Union**,

addressed similar efforts in the following ways:

***Five-Year Plans**, were a method of planning economic growth over limited periods, through the use of quotas, used first in the Soviet Union and later in other socialist states. In the Soviet Union, the first Five-Year Plan (1928-1932), implemented by **Joseph Stalin**, concentrated on developing heavy industry and collectivizing agriculture, at the cost of a drastic fall in consumer goods. The second plan (1933-1937) continued the objectives of the first. Collectivization led to terrible famines, especially in the Ukraine, that caused the deaths of millions. The third*

(1938-1942) emphasized the production of armaments. The fourth (1946-1953) again stressed heavy industry and military buildup, angering the Western powers. In [China](#), the first Five-Year Plan (1953-1957) stressed rapid industrial development, with Soviet assistance; it proved highly successful. Shortly after the second plan began in 1958, the [Great Leap Forward](#) was announced; its goals conflicted with the five-year plan, leading to failure and the withdrawal of Soviet aid in 1960.

[2] Encyclopedia Britannica, Five-Year Plan System

From an Alaskan's point of view, we should not want to repeat the mistakes of our neighbor but rather engage the power of our *Capitalist Economic System* to assure high production of food in Alaska. So, the part of this report that is relevant to Food Security is about Production.

Farm Characteristics

From the report: *For a young state Alaska has been in the business of agriculture for a long time. The 1959 Census of Agriculture included information for 1900, when it documented 159 acres of land in farms. But that acreage increased rapidly in the next four decades and in the Census of 1939 was 1,775,752.9 In Table 3.1, we present data from the Census of Agriculture for 1997, 2002, and 2007. In 2007, Alaska had 881,585 acres, or two-tenths of a percent of the state's total land area, as farmland. Fifty-nine percent of Alaska farms have less than 100 acres of farmland, with 23 percent of Alaska farms between 100 and 499 acres. This means that 82 percent of all Alaska farms are on less than 500 acres.*

Over the time period from 1997 to 2007, the amount of farmlands in Alaska has increased by one-tenth of one percent. The size of farms has decreased overall, with farms less than 100 acres increasing by more than 30 percent. With the exception of farms 500 to 999 acres (-8%), other categories of farm size decreased by more than 25 percent. The trend is showing a shift toward smaller farms, with most farms less than 100 acres in size in 2007.

Hobby Farms Abound but Contribute Little to AK Food

Security in Population Centers

[3]ISER Publication, p-15

Table 3.1: Census of Agriculture: Alaska, 1997, 2002, 2007

	1997
Approximate total land area (acres)	365,039,087
Total farmland (acres)	881,045
Percent of total land area	0.2 %
Cropland (acres)	94,810
Percent of total farmland	10.8 %
Percent in pasture	8.2 %
Percent irrigated	2.8 %
Harvested Cropland (acres)	34,227
Woodland (acres)	110,916
Percent of total farmland	12.6 %
Percent in pasture	68.6 %
Pastureland (acres)	655,852
Percent of total farmland	74.4 %
Land in house lots, ponds, roads, wasteland, etc. (acres)	19,467
Percent of total farmland	2.2 %
Conservation practices	
Farmland in conservation or wetlands reserve programs (acres)	25,400
Average farm size (acres)	1,608
Farms by size (percent)	
1 to 99 acres	45.4 %
100 to 499 acres	38.1 %
500 to 999 acres	6 %
1000 to 1,999 acres	4.7 %
2,000 or more acres	5.7 %
Farms by sales (percent)	
Less than \$9,999	59.9 %
\$10,000 to \$49,999	26.1 %
\$50,000 to \$99,999	5.5 %
\$100,000 to \$499,999	7.5 %
More than \$500,000	1.1 %
Tenure of farmers	
Full owner (farms)	332
Percent of total	60.6 %
Part owner (farms)	136
Percent of total	24.8 %
Tenant owner (farms)	80
Percent of total	14.6 %
Farm organization	
Individuals/family, sole proprietorship (farms)	458
Percent of total	83.6 %
Family-held corporations (farms)	21
Percent of total	3.8 %
Partnerships (farms)	43
Percent of total	7.8 %
Non-family corporations (farms)	15

The trend was going in the wrong direction in 2007 with smaller farms struggling to produce whatever they can eek out in small plots, as might be expected in a Soviet Style system.

Farm financial indicators

Farm financial indicators of crop-production employment earnings and net income have both increased slightly. Farm employment and wages increased by the end of the five-year plan from 2006 to 2010, but in the intervening years there were fluctuations. (See Table 3.3).

Table 3.3: Crop Production Employment, 2006-2010

	Average Monthly Employment	Average Monthly Earnings	Total Earnings
2006	163	\$1,697	\$3,313,839
2007	151	\$1,822	\$3,307,724
2008	150	\$1,908	\$3,428,661
2009	168	\$1,834	\$3,687,210
2010	180	\$1,800	\$3,880,848

Source: Alaska Department of Labor and Workforce Development, Research and Analysis Section, Quarterly Census of Employment and Wages(QCEW); <http://labor.alaska.gov/research/qcew/qcew.htm>

Crop pr
earnings
and 20
fluctuat
an increa
Average
increased

to 2010. Total earnings in crop production employment were nearly four
increase of 17 percent since 2006. Average monthly earnings also increa
increase of six percent since 2006.

We have a stagnant Agriculture sector. The state has used oil revenues to dabble in agriculture with poor results, and it will be up to Backwater Juneau policymakers to change how Ag Land is provided if we are to increase production, according to **Greg Giannulis**, owner of **Mike's Quality Meats and Mt. McKinley Meats and Sausage (MMMS)**. Giannulis explained: *Northern Canada has the same weather as Alaska and they raise many cattle there, on 10- 20- 50-thousand acre plots, Alaska government provides only 640-acre parcels for Ag Land and that keeps the price of meat higher.*



MIKE'S QUALITY MEATS

12110 Business Blvd
Eagle River, AK 99577



February 5, 2021

Welcome Senator Tom Begich to the 32nd Alaska Legislature.

You face many challenges as you consider the future of Alaska during this legislative session. As a 40 years business owner in Eagle River, serving Alaskans all over the state, I know the concerns you must have after seeing our grocery shelves emptied precipitously by November 2019 earthquake, and again by the Coronavirus Pandemic beginning in March of 2020. I watched what happened in amazement, myself.

You can make Alaska food security possible in the future by actions you take this legislative session.

Enclosed is my company brochure for products we produce in Alaska. While many businesses dependent upon Outside sources for beef and pork, put rations on amounts Alaskans could purchase during these calamities, **Mike's Quality Meats** had plenty of meat available.

By responsible pro-active public policy to assure agriculture land for commercial production, Alaska Lawmakers this session can bring food security to our isolated state. I welcome the opportunity to discuss this critical need with you. Food security is the most important issue facing Alaskans at this time!

Thank you for your interest in this matter.

Sincerely,

Greg Giannulis, Owner
Mike's Meats, Rocket Ranch, Mt McKinley Meats & Sausage
907-696-1888

encl

This letter was sent personally to all members of the Alaska Legislature and top officials in the **Dunleavy Administration** with no meaningful response. Our politicians pander, but why should they care when SPENDING **The People's Money** is so much fun?

It is not Commercially Viable to Produce Adequate Meat

for Alaskans with Current Ag Land Policies

Giannulis continued: *Second of all, locals say it costs more to raise meat here. No, if Canada can send beef here at \$1.10-\$1.20 per pound to the slaughter houses, and ship live animals to the lower 48, then why can't we do that here? We need livestock to be raised in Alaska for the same amount as they pay in Seattle, maybe 10-20 cents higher.*

Production levels seem to bear out the fact we do not have efficiencies of scale:

default watermark

Table 3.4: Farm income and value added data, 2009, 2010

	2009
	(tho
Final crop output	\$25,076
+ Final animal output	\$7,395
+ Services and forestry	\$7,541
= Final agricultural sector output	\$40,012
- Intermediate consumption outlays	\$21,294
+ Net government transactions	\$3,853
= Gross value added	\$22,571
- Capital consumption	\$7,364
= Net value added	\$15,207
- Factor payments	\$6,921
Employee compensation (total hired labor)	\$5,369
Net rent received by nonoperator landlords	(\$398)
Real estate and nonreal estate interest	\$1,950
= Net farm income	\$8,287
Number of farms	680
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service ; http://www.ers.usda.gov/StateFacts/AK.htm	

Table 3.5: Top five agriculture commodities, 2010


	Value of receipts (thousands)	Percent of state total farm rec
Greenhouse/nursery	\$13,000	
Hay	\$4,057	
Cattle and calves	\$2,420	
Potatoes	\$2,381	
Dairy products	\$1,610	
All commodities	30,752	
Source: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service ; http://www.ers.usda.gov/S		

[4]ISER Publication, P-19

This is a pittance of what Alaska requires to feed our population, documented a decade ago:

Population


Figure 2.1: Alaska Population, 1960-2010



[5]ISER Publication P-5

Welcome Pilgrims, One Moose Per Year!

Alaska's Oil Rush Population Boom



**Producing more meat in Alaska will drive the price
down for all consumers**

We all must eat. Whatever else is going on with the economy, the price and availability of food will determine health of all who live here. The promise of statehood was that we would determine our own destiny as part of the **United States of America** but today we are still dependent upon Seattle for most of our food—shipped to the Southeast Alaska ports and to the Port of Anchorage. Quality and price are controlled from out of state.

Mike's Quality Meats


USDA Beef, Pork, Specialty Meats Processed in ALASKA



Greg Giannulis,
Owner/Operator



Top quality ■ No perservatives ■ Processed at our Mat-Su facility



Serving Alaskans from our Eagle River store for 32 years.

This ad ran in the original story.

Update on the Borden Team Scam: After four profitable years with **MMMS**, **Greg Giannulis** finally realized public policy in Alaska will not allow **commercial agriculture** to work in the foreseeable future. He put the largest USDA Packing Plant in Alaska up for sale and was offered a 5-year lease-purchase deal that Giannulis agreed to. After the ink was dry Giannulis learned from a story in the **Anchorage Daily News** that his listing agent—Atlanta, GA scammer, **Bill Borden**, dba **High Caliber Realty**—had made that **Offer to Purchase** from a newly-formed company in which Borden had a 14% interest—**without telling Giannulis of Borden/High Caliber Realty's ownership interest. Our public ag policy invites such Outside scammers to exploit honorable Alaskans.** The new slaughterhouse owners (including Borden, now) have successfully made their first-year lease payment, but the Palmer plant is still wholly owned by Giannulis until the agreed total purchase amount is paid.

This is not unlike other deals the **State of Alaska** previously turned down, with multiple farmer wannabes seeking to purchase the plant on time and run it by Central Committee. Giannulis paid cash, invested to upgrade the facility, and had the capacity to process 500-700 animals per week. This writer has been told by Giannulis that if he gets this property back he likely will not keep it as a packing plant. This potential puts the welfare of all Alaskans at the mercy of an Outside charlatan who even conned **Gov. Michael Dunleavy**. And, again with the new operator, **AK Department of Corrections** offenders are working here as they had been before, when **State of Alaska** ownership subsidized the operation as it was losing as much as \$1 Million per year.

[6]**Anchorage Daily News**, North Pole Farming Family Buys—12/29/21



This property MIGHT be SOLD in Five years to a company the scammer illegally did not reveal he owns an interest in, when he presented the **Offer to Purchase**, to **Greg Giannulis**..

In response to my questions during our 2020 interview, Giannulis went to his constantly busy calculator, tap-tap-tap-tap: *We sell our beef for an average price of \$4.59*, he explains in real time numbers. *Thatâ??s \$3.49 per pound plus slaughter/processing costs. I have been able to get the average cost of beef (for Alaska) down to \$3.00 per pound.*

AK Food Security is an Economic Mandate

Giannulis still owns Rocket Ranch, too: *As soon as I started the **Rocket Ranch** I lowered the price of meat for Alaskansâ??before I bought the Mt McKinley slaughter houseâ??when I lowered the price even more, he explained. Since the day I bought MMMS from the **State of Alaska** (2017) we have lowered the price of beef and pork to all Alaskans.*



Giannulis also built Rocket Ranch in Palmer, Alaska

Thatâ??s how the capitalist economic principle of Supply-and-Demand works. More supply lowers the cost, more demand increases the cost. If legislators and the governor cared about Alaska Food Security they would have started talking to Giannulis a long time ago, instead of listening to whining of all his detractors, who want the state to subsidize THEM in Alaska Ag like we already do for so many welfare farms.

Here is how Giannulis explained how this has worked for Alaskan consumers: *Over three years I probably lowered the price four times. The rate was \$5-\$6-\$7-per pound then. They used to sell pigs for \$6 to \$7 per pound—now pigs from Delta are selling for \$4.70 per pound. Our price of \$3 per pound is \$1.70 lower; that’s a lot of savings! A 200 pound hog, times \$1.70, represents a savings of \$330 per hog.*

In his brash manner, Giannulis further explains: *I am setting the price for everybody and some may hate my guts for it. The big picture is we must drive the price down to compete. If they pay \$2 per pound for a steak in Seattle we can charge \$2.10-2.20 here, to be at the same level as Seattle pricing.*

Giannulis begs the question: *Why would Alaskans buy meat from Seattle if they can get it for the same price here? Utility and labor costs are the same as Seattle. We have no fight from Seattle now for the (small) amount we are able to produce in-state. BUT if we started having commercial farms of 10,000+ acres, Seattle will fight us. They want the majority of the state dependent on them for our food. If we can lower the price by higher levels of food produced in Alaska there is nothing they can do.*

Our Elected Officials Ignore healthy Basic Economics by denying Full Statutory PFD payments—Whistling Past the Graveyard on Food Security—in Favor of Ever-Greater State Spending for Entitlement and Dependency (Education/H&SS) Programs.

As a colony of the United States, we do not have enough livestock in Alaska now. Giannulis was doing all he could to gain access to animals from Montana in addition to those he raises in Palmer and at Delta.



We can bring them from Canada but we choose to buy from the USA, Giannulis said at that time. So Montana is one of the hubs we bring livestock from. We will have 50 animals arriving Jan. 5th. They load the animals in Montana and haul them by truck to Edmonton, Alberta. There they stay one day to water and feed, before loading them up for the 32 hours to Alaska. The price is the same for beef in Canada but why give them our money if we can keep it in the US? We send our own trucks down to Edmonton to pick up the animals and bring them here. We try to cut out all the middlemen and deliver the product at best price for Alaskans. It has taken a few years to get this set up.

Giannulis estimates he has invested \$2.5 million in his operation including the farm, storefront and processing plant, without taking out any loans or government grants.

When I came to Eagle River 40 years ago I had a pair of pants and a jacket with a hole in it, he proclaimed.



Mike's Quality Meats in Eagle River.

When the **State of Alaska** owned the slaughterhouse very few animals were processed while three managers made executive salaries and prisoners from the **Alaska Department of Corrections** did the work. This was the Soviet Model of food production with failed 5-year Plans.

We Don't Have ANY Plan

I have 12-15 people at the slaughter house who process the cattle and hogs, said Giannulis at the time. The animals are gathered and slaughtered and a day later processed into meat boxes mostly. We ship to anybody according to what they want statewide. They call it in; some may require custom cut steaks, or other variations, and we give them what they want. By having the complete system from USDA Certified slaughterhouse to customer we are able to assure meat for Alaska at the best price available.

That was Then?

Giannulis looks at Food Security through the prism of a businessman: *We need Alaska Ag Land for food security. Right now we have good business practices to deliver meat for Alaskans but we need land to grow hay, for barley, for hops, infrastructure: Stables, barns, for the people who work there bunkhouses, representing millions of dollars.*

If the land is available the infrastructure will be built by

innovative farmer/businessmen.

If we have ag land the rest is on us, continued Giannulis. The current 640-acre allotments are not enough to do anything. We need five acres per cow on average. Some land will support a cow on 3 acres some will require 10 acres per cow? 600 acres will support 120 cattle. We need to kill that many every day!

That's the big picture and with the recent pandemic we

learned what is really important for Alaska Food

Security:

In April, when meat was not available in the entire United States, I had as much meat as anybody in Alaska wanted to buy, Giannulis said. Limits were placed on meat? one steak or two stakes at some stores? but we provided for Alaskans. That will be how we always operate.

Meaningful Alaska Food Security is now in a holding pattern as Elected Officials in Backwater Juneau pat themselves on the back for another out-of-control State Government spending spree, and eat their Washington grown and processed steaks WELL DONE!

Let constituents eat cake.

References:

[1]UA Institute of Social and Economic Research, Publication ? Food System Assessment, Khristy Parker, Irina Ikatova, Rosyland Frazier, Virgene Hanna, Report 92pp, 09-01-12, Prepared for Alaska Department of Health and

Social Services, Obesity Prevention and Control
Program, Pg 1, Background.

http://pubs.iseralaska.org/media/65898f7a-a206-4c2a-8c31-c9fa57b8b366/2012_09-FoodSystemAssessment.pdf

[2]Alaska takes some responsibility for assuring low income people are able to access federal SNAP food benefits.

<https://health.alaska.gov/dpa/Pages/SNAP/default.aspx>

[3] Encyclopaedia Britannica online: <https://www.britannica.com/topic/Five-Year-Plans>

[4]ISER Publication â?? Food System Assessment, Pg 15.

[5]ISER Publication â?? Food System Assessment, Pg .19

[6]ISER Publication â?? Food System Assessment, Pg 5.

[7]Anchorage Daily News, North Pole Farming Family Buysâ?|12/29/21


default watermark

If you appreciate my attempts to tell the truth about what has happened in Alaska since statehood, please consider making a donation. I have won multiple times in court now against the Borden Criminal Enterprise after having myself been scammed and slimed. Your contributionâ??no matter the amountâ??would send a message to the court that we must not tolerate exploitation of honorable senior Alaskans willing to speak truth to powerâ??or even call BULLSHIT!

Thank you.

DONN

default watermark



Advertising is available on this website. I will write your story and it will gain continuous clicks through monthly display ads: Contact me at Donn@DonnListon.net

Category

1. Alaska Economy
2. Alaska Legislature

3. ALASKA! FOOD SECURITY
4. Eagle River/Chugiak Community
5. Pandemic

Tags

1. Alaska Food Security

Date Created

June 13, 2023

Author

donn

default watermark